

**ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH
AHERA THREE YEAR REINSPECTION
ASBESTOS PROGRAM
SCHOOL INFORMATION FORM**

THREE-YEAR REINSPECTION

Unit:
Building ID:

IDPH ID Number:

REPORT DATE:

Prepared for:
Chicago Public Schools
42 W. Madison Street
Chicago, IL 60602

Prepared by:
TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139
Phone 630-790-0880
Fax 630-790-0882

DO NOT REMOVE FROM SCHOOL
REQUIRED BY FEDERAL LAW

Mr. Eric Culbertson
Asbestos Program
Illinois Department of Public Health
525 West Jefferson Street
Springfield, Illinois 62761

Re: Chicago Public Schools - Three-Year Reinspections

Dear Mr.Culbertson:

TEM Environmental, Inc. , Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC), conducted the Three-Year Reinspections and performed management plan updates for the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) facilities in Region 5, 6 Elementary Schools. Please update your records with the following information.

| | | | |
|----------------------|-------|------------|--------------|
| School District: 299 | Unit: | Region: 05 | IDPH ID: |
| School: | | | Building ID: |
| Address: | | | |

Building Contact: DeNardo, Michael

Contact Phone: 7737095443

Current Building Owners: Chicago Public Schools

Reinspection Date:

Review Date:

Inspector: _____ Inspector IDPH License: _____
Management Planner: _____ Management Planner IDPH License: _____

If you have any questions or comments, please contact us at 630-790-0880

Sincerely,
TEM Environmental, Inc.

Jan Teneige

Environmental Notification to Occupants

To: Faculty, Staff and Parents
From: Chicago Public Schools
Date:

RE: , Unit

Dear Faculty, Staff and Parents,

This letter is to notify you that the asbestos three year re-inspection has been completed at , following the Federal Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA), 40 CFR Part 763, Subpart E and is available for your review at the main office of the school.

Although asbestos-containing building materials have been identified at , there is no reason to believe that any threat to the health of students or staff exists at this time. CPS will continue to carefully monitor the condition of asbestos-containing building materials and if conditions warrant, all appropriate steps will be taken to maintain the health and safety of all building occupants.

If you have any questions regarding this matter or require additional information, please feel free to contact , the designated Local Education Authority's Designated Person at .

Table of Contents

- I. School and Inspection Information
- II. Executive Summary
- III. Methodology
- IV. Abatement History
- V. Reassessments and Recommendations
- VI. Conclusions

Table I: Inspector's Reinspection Findings

Table II: Management Planner's Review

APPENDICES:

- Appendix A: Assessment Sheets, Drawings and Photos
- Appendix B: Inspector and Management Planner Licenses
- Appendix C: Laboratory Accreditations
- Appendix D: Laboratory Results
- Appendix E: Chain of Custody Forms

SCHOOL AND INSPECTION INFORMATION

1. School Information

| | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|------------|
| School: | Unit: | Region: 05 |
| Address: | | |
| IDPH ID: | Building ID: | |
| Contact: DeNardo, Michael | Phone: 7737095443 | |

2. Description of Facility

| | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Original Construction: 1926 | Additional Construction: |
| Total Square Footage: 32730 | No of Floors: 2 |
| Current Occupancy: | |

3. LEA Designated Person

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Contact: | Phone: |
| Address: 42 West Madison Street | |
| Chicago, IL 60602 | |

4. Managing Environmental Consultant

| | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|
| MEC: TEM Environmental, Inc. | |
| Contact: Steven Geneser | |
| Address 174 N. Brandon Drive | |
| Glendale Heights, IL, 60139 | |
| Phone: 630-790-0880 | Fax: 630-790-0882 |

5. Inspector

Inspector Name:

Inspector IDPH license #
Reinspection Date:

Signature: 
Date:

6. Management Planner

Management Planner Name:

Management Planner IDPH license #

Signature: 
Date:

7. Review Date:

8. LEA Designated Person's Acknowledgement

The reinspection report and recommendations have been received by me and appropriate action will be taken by the School District.

| | |
|--|-------|
| Signature:  | Date: |
| Name: | |

Unit :

Building:

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

was retained by the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) to perform a three-year asbestos reinspection of the . This inspection was conducted in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) part 763.85 (b), and the ongoing Operations and Maintenance Program (O&M) originally designed in the School's Asbestos Management Plan. The purpose of this three-year reinspection is to record any condition changes in the asbestos-containing building material (ACBM) in the school since the previous three-year reinspection and the six-month periodic surveillance, to identify, assess, and include any Homogeneous Areas (HA) not identified in the Management Plan, and to recommend an appropriate response action to manage asbestos.

The inspector conducted a three-year reinspection of this facility under Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) school reinspection requirements and AHERA, sections 763.85 and 763.88. The main building and each addition to the main building, if constructed at different dates, were inspected separately. Laboratory accreditations are included in Appendix C, laboratory results are included in Appendix D, and chain of custody forms are included in Appendix E.

Note: During previous inspections, some of the HA(s) were identified together as 9" x 9" floor tile (FT), mastics assoc. with 9" x 9" FT, 12" x 12" FT, mastics assoc. with 12" x 12" FT, pipe insulation, etc. Some of these HA(s) have been re-identified by areas that are uniform in color, texture, construction date, application date, and general appearance.

The inspector has determined the following:

A. The following HAs have changed assessment categories for Building :

B. The following new homogenous areas have been identified for Building :

C. This reinspection covered only physically accessible and visible areas and materials that were identified in the LEA's management plan. The following materials were concealed and/or contained in areas that were inaccessible for sampling and have been classified as Assumed:

The following areas were deemed to be inaccessible:

Materials were also listed as "assumed" if they were in good condition and sampling was not conducted to avoid damage.

This reinspection was conducted by , IDPH License # . The Management Plan was updated by , IDPH License # . Inspector and Management Planner Licenses are included in Appendix B.

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

was retained by the Chicago Public Schools (CPS) to perform a three-year asbestos reinspection of the . This inspection was conducted in accordance with the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) part 763.85 (b), and the ongoing Operations and Maintenance Program (O&M) originally designed in the School's Asbestos Management Plan. The purpose of this three-year reinspection is to record any condition changes in the asbestos-containing building material (ACBM) in the school since the previous three-year reinspection and the six-month periodic surveillance, to identify, assess, and include any Homogeneous Areas (HA) not identified in the Management Plan, and to recommend an appropriate response action to manage asbestos.

The inspector conducted a three-year reinspection of this facility under Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) school reinspection requirements and AHERA, sections 763.85 and 763.88. The main building and each addition to the main building, if constructed at different dates, were inspected separately. Laboratory accreditations are included in Appendix C, laboratory results are included in Appendix D, and chain of custody forms are included in Appendix E.

Note: During previous inspections, some of the HA(s) were identified together as 9" x 9" floor tile (FT), mastics assoc. with 9" x 9" FT, 12" x 12" FT, mastics assoc. with 12" x 12" FT, pipe insulation, etc. Some of these HA(s) have been re-identified by areas that are uniform in color, texture, construction date, application date, and general appearance.

The inspector has determined the following:

A. The following HAs have changed assessment categories for Building :

B. The following new homogenous areas have been identified for Building :

C. This reinspection covered only physically accessible and visible areas and materials that were identified in the LEA's management plan. The following materials were concealed and/or contained in areas that were inaccessible for sampling and have been classified as Assumed:

The following areas were deemed to be inaccessible:

Materials were also listed as "assumed" if they were in good condition and sampling was not conducted to avoid damage.

This reinspection was conducted by , IDPH License # . The Management Plan was updated by , IDPH License # . Inspector and Management Planner Licenses are included in Appendix B.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

III. METHODOLOGY

Tasks performed on-site included the following:

1. Review current management plan, identify HA(s) and extract appropriate information.
2. Examine and verify abatement records.
3. Touch and visually and physically reinspect and reassess the condition of all friable known or assumed ACBM.
4. Touch and visually and physically reinspect all non-friable known or assumed ACBM to determine whether these materials have become friable since the last inspection or periodic surveillance.
5. Identify any condition changes that may affect Hazard ranking of known ACBM or Assumed ACBM, as well as any HA(s) that have become friable since the last reinspection.
6. Collect bulk samples from each newly discovered friable HA or previously assumed and submit for laboratory analysis.
7. Tabulate reinspection findings and submit for management planner review and recommendations with appropriate response actions based on the AHERA Damage Category of the ACBM.
8. Submit reinspection findings and management planner recommendations to the LEA within thirty (30) days for inclusion into the management plan.

IV. ABATEMENT HISTORY

The information collected from the existing management plan and inspection report(s) and from interviews with the school official revealed that the following asbestos abatement was conducted at the school since the previous Three year Reinspection.

Abatement Dates:

V. REASSESSMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The reassessments and recommendations are summarized in Tables I and II on the following pages. Detailed Hazard Assessment Sheets and Drawings and Photos (if applicable) are included in Appendix A.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The Building Three-Year reinspection has been completed and response actions have been recommended for both friable and non-friable ACBM. A timely execution of the response actions recommended will enable the LEA and the Designated Person(s) to effectively manage the existing ACBM.

This three-year reinspection report should be inserted in the current Management plan at the CPS Central Office, and one (1) copy should be kept at the school for use when planning any renovation and/or demolition activities in areas where ACBM has been identified. Also, periodic surveillance documentation and any summary reports from any response actions that are executed at the school should be used to update the Management Plan.

Table I
Inspector's Reinspection Findings

School Bond School **Unit** 25941 **Building ID** 6550
Address 7050 S. May **Region** 05

Inspector's Reinspection Findings Table 1

Phone: 630-790-0880 Fax: 630-790-0882

[illegible]

Chicago Public Schools

School Bond School **Unit** 25941 **Building ID** 6550

Address 7050 S. May **Region** 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Inspector's Reinspection Findings Table 1

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880 Fax: 630-790-0882

Inspector's Comments are Summarized at the End of the Report

| |
|---|
| Reinspection Date <u>4/2/2025</u> |
| Inspector Name <u>Josh Herman</u> |
| <u>100-210405/15/2026</u> |
| Inspector's IDPH License Number / Expiration Date |

Inspector's Comments

| HA Number: | Inspector Comments: |
|------------|---------------------|
| NEW | |

Table II

Management Planner's Review

Chicago Public Schools

School Bond School

Unit 25941

Building ID 6550

Address 7050 S. May

Chicago, IL, 60621

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880

Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

| HA Num | Material Description | Material Quantity | Material Units | Material Location | Asbestos Type | Material Category | Friable | Damage Quantity | Damage Units | Damage Category | Response |
|--------|--|-------------------|----------------|--|---------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| | 1 x 1 Transite Panels | 350 | SF | 1963 Building- Southwest Corridor | Chrysotile | TSI | No | 0 | SF | | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 1 x 1 Acoustic Ceiling Tile ABATED | | | 1963 Building- Throughout Corridor and Classrooms | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 1 x 1 Acoustic Ceiling Tile ABATED | | | 1963 Building- Spots Throughout Classrooms and Corridor | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 9 x 9 Black Floor Tile ABATED 06/01/10 | | | 1963 Building- 1st, 2nd Floor Corridor | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 9x9 White Streaked Floor Tile | 395 | SF | 1963 Building- Main Office, Principal's Office, Nurse's Toilet | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Gray VAT | 8,496 | SF | 1963 Building- Electrical Room, Janitor's Closet, Nurses, Rooms 130, 221, 220, 223, 224, 226, 227, 228, 229 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Tan VAT | 4,165 | SF | 1963 Building- Main Office, Assistant Principal's & Principal's Office, Rooms 126, 222, 225, 230, Toilet, Nurse's Restroom, Counselor's Office | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9x9 Tan w/Color Streaks VAT | 1,340 | SF | 1963 Building- Rooms 122 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 10 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Gray w/Black Streaks Floor Tile NOT OBSERVED | | | 1963 Building- Engineer's Toilet, 2nd Floor Men's & Women's Toilet | Chrysotile | MISC | | | | | |
| | 1 x 1 Acoustic Ceiling tile | 10,334 | SF | Auditorium | Assumed | MISC | Yes | 0 | SF | 7 Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Brown/Orange VAT | 250 | SF | 1926 Building- Room 205 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Tan Floor Tile | 1,460 | SF | 1926 Building- Rooms 102, 103, 104 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Caramel Floor Tile | 1,460 | SF | 1926 Building- 102, 103, 104 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Brown Floor tile | 1,460 | SF | 1926 Building- Rooms 102, 103, 104, 200 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Beige Floor Tile | 1,460 | SF | 1926 Building- Rooms 102, 103, 104, 204A, 204 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Beige w/Colored Streaks Floor Tile ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Basement Faculty Lounge | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 9 x 9 Light Gray Floor Tile ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Basement Faculty Lounge | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | Black Tile (or Linoleum) ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Rooms 204 & 204A | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | Brick Mortar | | | Exterior of Building | Assumed | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12 x 12 Cream w/Light Brown Streaks Floor Tile | | | 1926 Building- Lunchroom, Auditorium, | Abated | MISC | | | | | |

Chicago Public Schools

School Bond School

Unit 25941

Building ID 6550

Address 7050 S. May

Chicago, IL, 60621

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880

Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

| HA Num | Material Description | Material Quantity | Material Units | Material Location | Asbestos Type | Material Category | Friable | Damage Quantity | Damage Units | Damage Category | Response |
|--------|--|-------------------|----------------|--|---------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | ABATED | | | Engineer's Office | | | | | | | |
| | 12 x 12 Light Green Floor Tile | 3,000 | SF | 1926 Building- Rooms 101A, 101B, 101, 203 | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9x9 Tan Streaked Floor Tile | 932 | SF | 1926 Building- Room 103 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12 x 12 Brown w/White Streaks Floor Tile | 275 | SF | 1926 Building- Basement Girls' Locker Room (now Lunch Storage), South Stage Wing | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12 x 12 Beige Floor Tile | 900 | SF | 1926 Building- Auditorium Stage | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12 x 12 Taupe Floor Tile | 350 | SF | 1926 Building- Basement Girls' Locker Room Toilet (now Kitchen Storage Room) | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12 x 12 Light Gray/Tan Streaks Floor Tile ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Kitchen | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12 x 12 Gray/Beige Floor Tile ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Auditorium North Stage Wing | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12" x 12" Floor Tile Mastic | | | Throughout Facility | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Gray Vinyl Floor Tile NOT OBSERVED | | | 1926 Building- Rooms 208, 222, 103, 013, 009, 012, 021 | Chrysotile | MISC | | | | | |
| | 9 x 9 Brown Floor Tile ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Rooms 105, 106, 109, 110, 108 | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 9 x 9 Taupe Floor Tile ABATED | | | 1953 Building | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 9 x 9 Caramel Floor Tile ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Room 108 | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12 x 12 Light Green Floor Tile ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Rooms 107 & 111 | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12 x 12 Taupe Floor Tile ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Rooms 105, 106, 108, 109, 111, South Vestibule | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 9 x 9 Black Floor Tile Mastic | 5,400 | SF | 1963 Building- 1st & 2nd Floor Corridors | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 White Streaked Floor tile Mastic | 395 | SF | 1963 Building- Main Office, Principal's Office, Nurse's Toilet | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Gray Floor Tile Mastic | 12,656 | SF | 1963 Building- Electrical Room, Janitor's Closet, Nurse's Room, 231, 130, 221, 220, 223, 224, 226, 227, 228, 229 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Tan Floor Tile Mastic | 8,325 | SF | 1963 Building- Main Office, Assistant Principal's Office, Principal's Office, | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |

Chicago Public Schools

School Bond School

Unit 25941

Building ID 6550

Address 7050 S. May

Chicago, IL, 60621

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880

Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

| HA Num | Material Description | Material Quantity | Material Units | Material Location | Asbestos Type | Material Category | Friable | Damage Quantity | Damage Units | Damage Category | Response |
|--------|---|-------------------|----------------|--|---------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | 126, 128, 222, 225, 230, Office Toilet, Nurse's Toilet, Counselor's Office | | | | | | | |
| | Tan w/Color Streaks Floor Tile Mastic | 2,680 | SF | 1963 Building- Rooms 120, 122 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Gray w/Black Streaks Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | 1963 Building- Engineer's Toilet, 2nd Floor Men's & Women's Toilets | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 9x9 Brown/Orange Floor Tile Mastic | 250 | SF | 1926 Building- Room 205, Storage Room | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Tan Floor tile Mastic | 1,460 | SF | 1926 Building- Rooms 102, 103, 104 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Caramel Floor Tile Mastic | 1,460 | SF | 1926 Building- Rooms 102, 103, 104, 204A, 204 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Brown Floor tile Mastic | 2,460 | SF | 1926 Building- 102, 103, 104, Boys' Locker Room | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Beige Floor Tile Mastic | 1,460 | SF | 1926 Building- Rooms 102, 103, 104 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 9 x 9 Beige w/Colored Streaks Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Basement Faculty Lounge | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 9 x 9 Light Gray Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Basement Faculty Lounge | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | Black Floor Tile (Or Linoleum) Mastic ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Auditorium, Rooms 204 & 204A | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12x12 Cream/Light Brown Streaks Floor Tile Mastic | 8,000 | SF | 1926 Building- Engineer's Office | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12 x 12 Light Green Floor Tile Mastic | | | 1926 Building- Rooms 101, 101A, 101B & 203 | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12 x 12 Tan streaked Floor Tile Mastic | 932 | SF | 1926 Building- Room 103 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12 x 12 Brown w/White Streaks Floor Tile Mastic | 275 | SF | 1926 Building- Basement, Girls' Locker Room, South Stage Wing | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12 x 12 Beige Floor Tile Mastic | | | 1926 Building- Auditorium Stage | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12 x 12 Taupe Floor Tile Mastic | 350 | SF | 1926 Building- Basement Girls' Locker Room Toilet | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12 x 12 Light Gray w/Tan Streaks Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Kitchen | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12 x 12 Gray/Beige Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Auditorium, North Stage Wing | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 9 x 9 Brown Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Rooms 105, 106, 108, 109, 110 | Abated | MISC | | | | | |

Chicago Public Schools

School Bond School

Unit 25941

Building ID 6550

Address 7050 S. May

Chicago, IL, 60621

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880

Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

| HA Num | Material Description | Material Quantity | Material Units | Material Location | Asbestos Type | Material Category | Friable | Damage Quantity | Damage Units | Damage Category | Response |
|--------|--|-------------------|----------------|--|---------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 9 x 9 Taupe Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Room 108 | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 9 x 9 Caramel Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Room 108 ABATED | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12 x 12 Light Green Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Rooms 107, 111 | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12 x 12 Taupe Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | 1953 Building- 105, 106, 109, South Vestibule | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | Drywall | | | 1926 Building- Basement Faculty Lunchroom | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | 1 x 1 Acoustical Ceiling Tile Mastic | | | 1926 Building- Engineer's Lunchroom, Auditorium, Rooms 101, 101A, 101B, 201, 1st Floor & Basment Corridors | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | 2 x 4 Pin Dots Ceiling Tile | | | 1926 Building- Basement Lunchroom, Corridor & Classrooms | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | 2 x 4 Fissured Ceiling Tile | | | 1926 Building- Basement Vestibules & Rooms 100, 101, 101A, 101B, 102, 201, 202, 204A, 204 | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | 2 x 4 Swirled Dots Ceiling Tile | | | 1926 Building- East Lunchroom, Rooms 100, 101A & 201 | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Interior Window Caulk | | | 1926 Building- Throughout Building | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Tan Stair Caps | | | 1926 Building- Stairwells | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Tan Stair Cap Mastic | | | 1926 Building- Stairwells | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Brown Vinyl Baseboard | | | 1926 Building- Nourth Basement Corridor | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Brown Vinyl Baseboard Mastic | 200 | LF | 1926 Building- North Basement Corridor | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | LF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | Black Vinyl Baseboard | | | 1926 Building- Faculty Lunchroom, Rooms 100, 101, 101A, 101B, 201, 202, 203, 204A, 204 | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Black Vinyl Baseboad Mastic | | | 1926 Building- Faculty Lunchroom, Rooms 100, 101, 101A, 101B, 201, 202, 203, 204, 204A | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Thick Brown Baseboard | | | 1926 Building- Gym | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Thick Baseboard Mastic | | | 1926 Building- Gym | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Vibration Gasket ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Fan Room | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | Window Glazing | | | 1953 Building- Rooms 105, 106, 108, | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |

Chicago Public Schools

School Bond School

Unit 25941

Building ID 6550

Address 7050 S. May

Chicago, IL, 60621

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880

Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

| HA Num | Material Description | Material Quantity | Material Units | Material Location | Asbestos Type | Material Category | Friable | Damage Quantity | Damage Units | Damage Category | Response |
|--------|---|-------------------|----------------|--|---------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | 111 | | | | | | | |
| | Drywall | | | 1963 Building- Ceiling of Storeroom | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Black Vinyl Baseboard | | | 1963 Building- Nurse's Room, Rooms 120 & 230 | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Black Vinyl Baseboad Mastic | 75 | LF | 1963 Building- Nurse's Room, Rooms 102 & 230 | Chrysotile | MISC | No | 0 | LF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 1'x1' Acoustical Ceiling Tile | | | 1926 Building- East Lunchroom, Faculty Lunchroom, Auditorium, Corridors, Rooms 101, 101A, 101B & 202 | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | 1 x 1 Acousical Ceiling Tile Mastic | | | 1926 Building- East Lunchroom, Auditorium, Corridor, Faculty Lunchroom, Rooms 101, 101A, 101B & 202 | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Brown Vinyl Molding | | | 1926 Building- Along Edge of Stage | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | Brown Vinyl Molding Mastic | | | 1926 Building- Along Edge of Stage | No ACBM | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12x12 Tan w/Brown Streaks Floor Tile | 300 | SF | 1926 Building- Basement Faculty Lounge | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12x12 Light Blue Floor Tile | 1,895 | SF | Room 120, 2nd Floor Men's and Pre-K Bathrooms & Room 108 | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12x12 White Floor Tile | 220 | SF | 2nd Floor Women's Bathroom | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12x12 Tan w/White Streaks | 4,160 | SF | Building 1926- Rooms 105, 106, 109 & 110 | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12x12 Dark Blue Floor Tile | 520 | SF | 1926 Building- Room 108 | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | Blue Carpet Adhesive | | | Room 204/204A | Assumed | MISC | | | | | |
| | Dark Grey Terrazzo Flooring Border | 5,000 | SF | Hallways | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | Light Grey Mix Terrazzo | 2,000 | SF | Washroom & Stairwell Adjacent to Auditorium | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12X12 Light Blue w/White Floor Tile-DUPLICATE HA 52 | | | Room 108 | Assumed | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12X12 Light Blue w/White Floor Tile ABATED | | | Room 108 | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 4" Blue Vinyl Basecover ABATED | | | Room 108 | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 4" Blue Vinyl Baseboard Adhesive ABATED | | | Room 108 | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12X12 White w/Blue Floor Tile ABATED | | | Hallway adjacent to Room 107/105 | Abated | MISC | | | | | |

Chicago Public Schools

School Bond School

Unit 25941

Building ID 6550

Address 7050 S. May

Chicago, IL, 60621

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880

Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

| HA Num | Material Description | Material Quantity | Material Units | Material Location | Asbestos Type | Material Category | Friable | Damage Quantity | Damage Units | Damage Category | Response |
|--------|---|-------------------|----------------|--|---------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|--|-----------------|
| | 12X12 White w/Blue Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | Hallway adjacent to Room 107/105 | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12X12 Light Grey w/White Floor Tile | 800 | SF | Room 121 | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12X12 Light Grey w/White Floor Tile Mastic | 800 | SF | Room 121 | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12X12 Light & Dark Brown & White Floor Tile ABATED | | | Vestibule between Original School & Addition | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12X12 Light & Dark Brown & White Floor Tile Mastic ABATED | | | Vestibule between Original School & Addition | Abated | MISC | | | | | |
| | 12" x 12" Beige Floor Tile | 700 | SF | Rooms 123 and 125 | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12" x 12" Beige Floor Tile Mastic | 700 | SF | Rooms 123 and 125 | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12" x 12" Orange Floor Tile | 1,800 | SF | Auditorium | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12" x 12" Orange Floor Tile Mastic | 1,800 | SF | Auditorium | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | Gray Lab Counter Tops | 300 | SF | Rooms 120 & 123 | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | | Follow O&M Plan |
| | Linoleum Countertop | 60 | SF | Room 122 (Teachers' Lounge) | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | | Follow O&M Plan |
| | Boiler Insulation ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Boiler Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | 12"x12" Beige w/White Specks Floor Tile | 15,000 | SF | 1963 Building- Room 102, 121, 123, 125, 127, 129, 131, Landing 1st Floor Hallway & Breezeway | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12"x12" Beige w/White Specks Floor Tile Mastic | 15,000 | SF | 1963 Building- Room 102, 121, 123, 125, 127, 129, 131, Landing 1st Floor Hallway, Breezeway | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 2'x4' Ceiling Tile, All | 17,500 | SF | 1963 Building- 120, 121, 123, 125, 127, 129, Landings, 122, 131, 1st & 2nd Floor Hallway, 122, 126, 128, 130 | Assumed | MISC | Yes | 0 | SF | 7 Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 4" Vinyl Baseboard, All | 1,600 | SF | 1963 Building- Rooms 120, 121, 123, 125, Breezeway, 127, 129, 131 | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 4" Vinyl Mastic All | 1,600 | SF | 1963 Building- Room 120, 121, 123, 125, Breezeway, 127, 129, 131 | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12"x12" Beige w/White Specks Floor Tile | 15,000 | SF | 1926 Building- Lunchroom, 1st & 2nd Floor Corridors, Hallway, Library, Auditorium Stage, Landing | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 12"x12" Beige w/ White Specks Floor Tile Mastic | 15,000 | SF | 1926 Building- Lunch Room, 1st and 2nd Floor Corridors, Hallway, Library, Auditorium Stage, Landing. | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | SF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 4" Vinyl Baseboard | 900 | LF | 1926 Building- Lunchroom, Hallway, 1st | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | LF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |

Chicago Public Schools

School Bond School

Unit 25941

Building ID 6550

Address 7050 S. May

Chicago, IL, 60621

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880

Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

| HA Num | Material Description | Material Quantity | Material Units | Material Location | Asbestos Type | Material Category | Friable | Damage Quantity | Damage Units | Damage Category | Response |
|--------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|--|---------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|---|-----------------|
| | | | | & 2nd Library, Auditorium Stage | | | | | | | |
| | 4" Vinyl Baseboard Mastic | 900 | LF | 1926 Building- Lunchroom, Hallway, 1st and 2nd Library, Auditorium Stage | Assumed | MISC | No | 0 | LF | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | 2x4 Ceiling Tile | 21,000 | SF | 1926 Building- Lunchroom, Hallway, Rooms 101-104, 200-204, Landings | Assumed | MISC | Yes | 0 | SF | 7 Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM | Follow O&M Plan |
| | Mag Duct Insulation ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Boiler Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Tank #1 Insulation ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Boiler Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Tank #2 Insulation ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Boiler Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Aircell Pipe Insulation | | | 1953 Building- Boiler Room, Wet Walls across from Office, Rooms 220, 221, Crawlspace | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Aircell Joints ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Fan Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Cardboard Pipe Covering ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Boiler Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Cardboard Joint ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Boiler Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Pre-Form Pipe Insulation ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Boiler Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Pre-Form joint Insulation ABATED | | | 1926 Building- Boiler Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Elbows on Fiberglass ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Fan Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Newly Installed Suspect ACM | | | Installed After Implementation of Management Plan or After Renovations | Assumed | MISC | | | | 6 ACBM with the potential for damage | Follow O&M Plan |
| | Aircellpipe Insulation ABATED | | | 1953 Building- Fan Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Aircell Pipe Joints ABATED | | | 1953 Building - Fan Room | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Cardboard Pipe Insulation ABATED | | | 1963 Building- Pipe Tunnel | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Cardboard Joints ABATED | | | 1963 Building- Pipe Tunnel | Abated | TSI | | | | | |
| | Preform Pipe Insulation | 20 | LF | 1963 Building- Pipe Tunnel | Amosite | TSI | Yes | 5 | LF | 1 Damaged or significantly damaged friable thermal system insulating ACBM | Follow O&M Plan |
| | Preform Joints | 200 | LF | 1963 Building- Pipe Tunnel | Chrysotile | TSI | Yes | 0 | LF | 7 Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM | Follow O&M Plan |
| | Fiberglass Joints | 100 | LF | 1963 Building- Pipe Tunnel | Assumed | TSI | Yes | 0 | LF | 7 Any remaining friable ACBM or friable suspect ACBM | Follow O&M Plan |

Chicago Public Schools

School Bond School

Unit 25941

Building ID 6550

Address 7050 S. May

Chicago, IL, 60621

Region 05

ASBESTOS REINSPECTION FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Management Planner's Review Table II

Managing Environmental Consultant (MEC) TEM Environmental, Inc.

174 N. Brandon Drive
Glendale Heights, IL, 60139

Phone: 630-790-0880

Fax: 630-790-0882

Management Planner's Comments Summarized at the End of the Report

| | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Review Date | 04/24/2025 |
| Manager Planner Name | James Tuinenga |
| 100-00349 | 5/15/2026 |
| Manager IDPH License No/Expiration | |

| HA Number | Management Comments |
|-----------|---------------------|
|-----------|---------------------|

APPENDIX A

Assessment Sheets, Drawings and Photos

Chicago Public Schools

TEM Environmental, Inc.
2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

Inspector Assessment Form (REASSESSMENT)

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUIDLING ID:
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** AHERA INSPECTOR:
SCHOOL NAME: INSPECTION DATE:
ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

INFORMATION FROM PREVIOUS INSPECTION

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:
MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:
HISTORICAL AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY **ACBM with the potential for damage**
HISTORICAL DAMAGE REASON: **Physical Damage**
HISTORICAL RESPONSE ACTION: **Follow O&M Plan**
ASBESTOS TYPE: FRIABLE:

RESULTS OF REINSPECTION AND REASSESSMENT

This homogeneous area was reinspected and reassessed in accordance with Section 763.85 and 763.88 of AHERA and it's condition HAS NOT CHANGED when compared to the conditions of the last AHERA reinspection.

The current AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY is determined to be . **ACBM with the potential for damage**

DAMAGE REASON: **Physical Damage**

DISTURBANCE POTENTIAL:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:

MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY:

DAMAGE UNITS:

COMMENTS:

Inspector's Signature:



Date: **04/02/2025**

Chicago Public Schools

TEM Environmental, Inc.
2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

Management Planner Review Form

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUIDLING ID:
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** MANAGEMENT PLANNER:
SCHOOL NAME: REVIEW DATE:
ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:

MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY:

DAMAGE UNITS:

In accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) the LEA must select a management planner to review the results of the inspection and assessment and recommend appropriate response actions. The original inspection of the above identified homogeneous area has been reviewed in accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 with the following recommendations.

The RESPONSE ACTION recommendation is:

Follow O&M Plan

Comments:

Management Planner's Signature: _____



Date: 04/24/2025

Chicago Public Schools

TEM Environmental, Inc.
2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

Inspector Assessment Form (New Homogeneous Area)

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUILDING ID:
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** AHERA INSPECTOR:
SCHOOL NAME: INSPECTION DATE:
ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

INFORMATION FROM CURRENT INSPECTION

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:
MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:
MATERIAL LOCATION:
MATERIAL QUANTITY: MATERIAL UNITS:
MATERIAL CATEGORY: FRIABLE:
ASBESTOS TYPE:
DISTURBANCE POTENTIAL: CONDITION: **No Damage**
AHERA DAMAGE CATEGORY: **ACBM with the potential for damage**
ACCESSIBILITY: **Within Reach** DAMAGE REASON:
DAMAGE QUANTITY: DAMAGE REASON:
DAMAGE UNITS:
COMMENTS:

Inspector's Signature:



Date: **04/02/2025**

Chicago Public Schools

TEM Environmental, Inc. 2025 AHERA REINSPECTION

Management Planner Review Form

LEA NAME: **Chicago Public Schools** UNIT NUMBER: BUILDING ID:
CITY/STATE: **Chicago, Illinois** MANAGEMENT PLANNER:
SCHOOL NAME: REVIEW DATE:
ADDRESS: IDPH LICENSE NO:

HOMOGENEOUS AREA:

MATERIAL DESCRIPTION:

MATERIAL LOCATION:

MATERIAL QUANTITY:

MATERIAL UNITS:

DAMAGE QUANTITY:

DAMAGE UNITS:

In accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 of the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) the LEA must select a management planner to review the results of the inspection and assessment and recommend appropriate response actions. The original inspection of the above identified homogeneous area has been reviewed in accordance with Sections 763.88 and 763.90 with the following recommendations.

The RESPONSE ACTION recommendation is:

Follow O&M Plan

COMMENTS:

Management Planner's Signature: _____



Date: 04/24/2025

APPENDIX B

Inspector and Management Planner Licenses

APPENDIX C

Laboratory Accreditations

APPENDIX D

Laboratory Results

APPENDIX E

Chain of Custody Forms

Three-Year Reinspection Key to Terms

| | CODE | KEY |
|--------------------------|------|--|
| MATERIAL | | |
| | ACBM | Asbestos Containing Building Materials |
| MATERIAL CATEGORY | | |
| | MISC | Miscellaneous |
| | SURF | Surfacing |
| | TSI | Thermal System Insulation |
| MATERIAL UNITS | | |
| | LF | Linear Feet |
| | SF | Square Feet |
| | CF | Cubic Feet |
| DAMAGE TYPE | | |
| | Loc | Localized |
| | Dist | Distributed |